arXiv:1208.0815v1 [math.DS] 3 Aug 2012

ON THE DYNAMICAL AND ARITHMETIC DEGREES OF RATIONAL SELF-MAPS OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

SHU KAWAGUCHI AND JOSEPH H. SILVERMAN

Abstract. Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map of a projective variety defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. An important geoemtric-dynamical invariant of f is its dynamical degree $\delta_f = \lim \rho((f^n)^*)^{1/n}$, where $\rho((f^n)^*)$ is the spectral radius of f^n acting on $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. For a point $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ with well-defined forward f-orbit, the analogous (upper) arithmetic degree

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(P) = \limsup h_X(f^n(P))^{1/n}$$

measures the arithmetic complexity of the f-orbit of P. In this paper we prove the fundamental inequality $\alpha_f(P) \le \delta_f$, and we give an application to nef canonical heights. We conjecture that $\overline{\alpha}_f(P) = \delta_f$ whenever the f-orbit of P is Zariski dense, and we describe some cases for which we can prove this conjecture.

Introduction

Let $X/\mathbb{C}$ be a projective variety, let $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ be the Néron–Severi group of X, let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map, let $f^* : \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the induced map,[1] and write f^n for the n^{th}-iterate of f. It is not true in general that $(f^n)^*$ is equal to $(f^*)^n$. The dynamical degree is a measure of the extent to which $(f^n)^*$ and $(f^*)^n$ differ.

Definition. Let V be a finite-dimensional real or complex vector space and $A : V \to V$ a linear transformation. The *spectral radius of* A, which we denote by $\rho(A)$, is the magnitude of largest eigenvalue of A. If we want to specify the vector space, we write $\rho(A, V)$.

Date: 3 August, 2012.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37P15; Secondary: 37P05, 37P30, 37P55, 11G50, 32F10.

Key words and phrases. arithmetic degree, dynamical degree.

The first author's research supported by JSPS grant-in-aid for young scientists (B) 24740015. The second author's research supported by NSF DMS-0854755.

[1] We write $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ for $\mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and similarly for $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition. Let $X/\mathbb{C}$ be a projective variety and let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map as above. The *dynamical degree of f* is

$$\delta_f = \lim_{n\to\infty} \rho\bigl((f^n)^*, \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}\bigr)^{1/n}.$$

The study of the dynamical degree and its relation to entropy was initiated in [2, 8] and is currently an area of active research; see for example [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 15, 20, 25, 26, 27, 28, 32]. In this article we study the relationship between the dynamical degree and an analogous arithmetic degree [31], which we now describe.

Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a normal projective variety, let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, let

$$h_X : X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \to [0,\infty)$$

be an (absolute logarithmic) Weil height on X relative to an ample divisor, and for convenience, let

$$h_X^+(P) = \max\bigl\{h_X(P), 1\bigr\}.$$

(See [10, 21, 24, 30] for basic definitions and properties of heights.) We set the following notation:

$\mathcal{O}_f(P)$ The (*forward*) *f-orbit* of P, equal to $\{f^n(P) : n \ge 0\}$.

I_f The *indeterminacy locus* of f, equal to the set of points at which f is not well-defined.

X_f The set of points $P \in X(\mathbb{C})$ whose forward orbit $\mathcal{O}_f(P)$ is well-defined, i.e., such that $f^n(P) \notin I_f$ for all $n \ge 0$. For a subfield K of $\mathbb{C}$, we let $X(K)_f = X(K) \cap X_f$.

Definition. Let $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$. The *arithmetic degree of f at P* is the quantity

$$\alpha_f(P) = \lim_{n\to\infty} h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n},$$

assuming that the limit exists.

The arithmetic degree of f at P measures the growth rate of the height $h_X\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)$ as $n \to \infty$. It is thus a measure of the arithmetic complexity of the f-orbit of P.

Conjecture 1. *The limit defining $\alpha_f(P)$ exists for all $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$.*

One reason for studying the arithmetic degree is that it determines the height counting function for points in orbits, as in the following elementary result, which we prove in Section 2.

Proposition 2. *Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a (normal) projective variety, let h_X be a height function on X associated to an ample divisor, let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$*

be a dominant rational map defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$*, and let* $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$ *be a point with* $\#\mathcal{O}_f(P) = \infty$ *whose arithmetic degree* $\alpha_f(P)$ *exists. Then*

$$\lim_{B\to\infty} \frac{\#\bigl\{Q \in \mathcal{O}_f(P) : h_X(Q) \le B\bigr\}}{\log B} = \frac{1}{\log \alpha_f(P)}. \tag{1}$$

(If $\alpha_f(P) = 1$*, the formula* (1) *is to be read as saying that the limit is equal to* ∞*.)*

Definition. In lieu of a proof of Conjecture 1, we define *upper and lower arithmetic degrees*,

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(P) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\alpha}_f(P) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n}.$$

The following fundamental inequality relating the dynamical degree and the (upper) arithmetic degree is the main result of this paper. It quantifies the statement that the arithmetic complexity of the f-orbit of an algebraic point P is never greater than the geometrical-dynamical complexity of the map f.

Theorem 3. *Let* $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ *be a* (*normal*) *projective variety, let* $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ *be a dominant rational map defined over* $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$*, and let* $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$*. Then*

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(P) \le \delta_f.$$

For rational maps $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ of projective space, Theorem 3 was proven in [31, Proposition 13]. The same proof works, *mutatis mutandis*, for varieties satisfying $\operatorname{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$, and, with a little more work, for varieties satisfying $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$. But if $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ has dimension greater than 1, then the proof of Theorem 3, which we give in Section 5 after several sections of preliminary results, is considerably more intricate.

As an application of Theorem 3, we prove in Corollary 23 that if $f : X \to X$ is a morphism with $\delta_f > 1$ and if D is a nef divisor satisfying $f^*D \equiv \delta_f D$ in $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, then the classical canonical height limit formula $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_f^{-n} h_{X,D}\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)$ converges; and further, if the limit is positive, then $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_f$. We note that the existence of the limit is easy if f^*D is linearly equivalent to $\delta_f D$, cf. [13], or if D is ample, but for algebraic equivalence and a nef divisor D, the convergence is more delicate.

Theorem 3 raises a natural question. Under what conditions is $\alpha_f(P)$ equal to δ_f, i.e., when does the arithmetic complexity of the f-orbit of a point P fully capture the geometrical-dynamical complexity of f? Further, a classical conjecture [8] on the integrality of δ_f suggests an analogous conjecture for α_f. This leads to the following multi-part conjecture, into which we have incorporated Conjecture 1; cf. [31, Conjecture 42], in which (b), (c), and (d) were conjectured for $\overline{\alpha}_f(P)$.

Conjecture 4. *Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a (normal) projective variety, let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map, and let $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$.*

(a) *The limit defining $\alpha_f(P)$ exists.*
(b) *If the forward orbit $\mathcal{O}_f(P)$ is Zariski dense in X, then $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_f$.*
(c) *$\alpha_f(P)$ is an algebraic integer.*
(d) *The collection of arithmetic degrees $\bigl\{\alpha_f(Q) : Q \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f\bigr\}$ is a finite set.*

In the final section of this paper we briefly indicate some cases for which we can prove Conjecture 4. These include morphisms f when $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$, regular affine automorphisms, surface automorphisms, and semisimple monomial maps. The proofs of these results, together with other cases for which we can prove the weaker statement that $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_f(X)$ for a Zariski dense set of points $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$ having disjoint orbits, will appear in a subsequent publication [22].

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank ICERM for providing a stimulating research environment during their spring 2012 visits. The authors would also like to thank the organizers of conferences on Automorphisms (Shirahama 2011), Algebraic Dynamics (Berkeley 2012), and the SzpiroFest (CUNY 2012), during which some of this research was done.

1. Some Brief Remarks

In this section we make some brief remarks about dynamical degrees, arithmetic degrees, and canonical heights.

Remark 5. The assumption in Conjecture 4 that $\mathcal{O}_f(P)$ be Zariski dense is not as strong as it appears. This is because f induces a rational map on the Zariksi closure $Y = \overline{\mathcal{O}_f(P)} \subset X$ of the orbit. So ignoring the normality condition, we can apply Conjecture 4 to $f|_Y$ and $P \in Y(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$ to deduce that $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_{f|_Y}$. Note that $\alpha_f(P)$ is independent of whether we view P as a point of X or a point of Y, since the restriction of Y of an ample height function h_X on X gives an ample height function on Y.

Remark 6. Bellon and Viallet [8] conjecture that δ_f is an algebraic integer. Assuming this and Conjecture 4(b), which says that $\overline{\mathcal{O}_f(P)} = X$ implies that $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_f$, one can more-or-less reduce Conjectures 4(c,d) to the study of the values of δ_f on the f-invariant subvarieties of X.

Remark 7. The following alternative definition of δ_f via intersection theory is sometimes useful. Let H be an ample divisor on X and let $N = \dim(X)$. Then

$$\delta_f = \lim_{n\to\infty} \bigl((f^n)^* H \cdot H^{N-1}\bigr)^{1/n}. \tag{2}$$

See [18, Proposition 1.2(iii)].

Remark 8. The quantity $\log \delta(f)$ is sometimes called the *algebraic entropy of* f; see [8]. It is thus tempting to define $\log \alpha_f(P)$ to be the *arithmetic entropy of* (f, P), and indeed one can reformulate the definitions of $\log \delta_f$ and $\log \alpha_f(P)$ to more closely resemble classical defininitions of entropy, but we will not pursue this here. More generally, the p^{th}-dynamical degree $\delta_p(f)$ may be defined as in (2) using the action of $(f^n)^*$ on $H^{p,p}_{\text{alg}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $\log \delta_p(f)$ is called the p^{th}-algebraic entropy of f, and one might similarly define higher codimension arithemtic entropies for self-maps of arithmetic varieties using Arakelov intersection theory. It would be interesting to prove any significant relationship between these quantities.

Remark 9. We use h^+_X instead of h_X in the definition of arithmetic degree to ensure that $\underline{\alpha}_f(P) \ge 1$, even in the rare situation that P is periodic and $h_X\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) = 0$ for some n. We also note that the arithmetic degree is independent of the choice of height function h_X; see Proposition 11.

Remark 10. If $f : X \to X$ is a morphism with $\delta_f > 1$, and if $D \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an ample divisor class satisfying $f^*D \sim \delta_f D$, then the f-canonical height of P is the quantity $\hat{h}_f(P) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_f^{-n} h_D\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)$; see [13]. It is an exercise to show in this setting that

$$\hat{h}_f(P) > 0 \Longrightarrow \alpha_f(P) = \delta_f \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_f(P) = 0 \Longrightarrow \#\mathcal{O}_f(P) < \infty,$$

so in particular Conjecture 4 is true. There are other situations in which one can define a canonical height having sufficiently good properties to prove Conjecture 4; see Section 8 and [22, 31] for examples and further details. But a general rational map, or even morphism, does not have a canonical height with sufficiently good properties. The arithmetic degree $\alpha_f(P)$ thus provides, for all rational maps, a non-trivial, albeit coarser, way to measure the arithmetic complexity of an orbit.

2. Basic Properties of the Arithmetic Degree

In this section we verify that the upper and lower arithmetic degrees are well-defined, independent of the choice of height function h_X on X, and we prove a counting result for points in orbits. We also give two useful lemmas.

Proposition 11. *The uppper and lower arithemtic degrees* $\overline{\alpha}_f(P)$ *and* $\underline{\alpha}_f(P)$ *are independent of the choice of the height function* h_X*.*

Proof. If P has finite f-orbit, then it is clear from the definition that the limit $\alpha_f(P)$ exists and is equal to 1, regardless of the choice of h_X. We assume henceforth that P is not preperiodic, which means that we can replace h_X^+ with h_X when taking limits over the orbit of P

Let h and h' be heights on X relative to ample divisors D and D', and let the corresponding arithmetic degrees be denoted respectively by $\overline{\alpha}_f(P)$, $\underline{\alpha}_f(P)$, $\overline{\alpha}'_f(P)$, and $\underline{\alpha}'_f(P)$. By definition of ampleness [19, Section II.7], there is an integer m such that $mD - D'$ is ample, so standard functorial properties of height functions [21, Theorem B.3.2] imply that there is a constant C such that

$$mh(Q) \ge h'(Q) - C \quad \text{for all } Q \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}). \tag{3}$$

We choose a sequence of indices $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\in\mathcal{N}} h'\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} h'\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} = \overline{\alpha}'_f(P). \tag{4}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\alpha}'_f(P) &= \lim_{n\in\mathcal{N}} h'\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} && \text{from (4),} \\ &\le \lim_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \bigl(mh\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) + C\bigr)^{1/n} && \text{from (3),} \\ &\le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \bigl(mh\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) + C\bigr)^{1/n} \\ &= \limsup_{n\to\infty} h\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} = \overline{\alpha}_f(P). \end{aligned}$$

This gives one inequality for the upper arithmetic degrees, and reversing the roles of h and h' gives the opposite inequality, which proves that $\overline{\alpha}'_f(P) = \overline{\alpha}_f(P)$. We omit the similar proof that $\underline{\alpha}'_f(P) = \underline{\alpha}_f(P)$. □

The following lemma says that $\overline{\alpha}_f(P)$ depends only on the eventual orbit of P.

Lemma 12. *Let* $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ *be a rational map defined over* $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$*. Then for all* $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$ *and all* $k \ge 0$*,*

$$\overline{\alpha}_f\bigl(f^k(P)\bigr) = \overline{\alpha}_f(P).$$

Proof. We compute

$$\overline{\alpha}_f\bigl(f^k(P)\bigr) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} h_X^+\bigl(f^{n+k}(P)\bigr)^{1/n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(h_X^+\bigl(f^{n+k}(P)\bigr)^{1/(n+k)} \right)^{1+k/n} \\
&= \limsup_{n\to\infty} h_X^+\bigl(f^{n+k}(P)\bigr)^{1/(n+k)} \\
&= \overline{\alpha}_f(P).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 12. □

We next prove Proposition 2, which we recall says that if the limit defining $\alpha_f(P)$ exists, then the growth of the height counting function of the orbit of P is given by (1).

Proof of Proposition 2. Since $\#\mathcal{O}_f(P) = \infty$, it suffices to prove (1) with h_X^+ in place of h_X. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $n_0(\epsilon)$ so that

$$(1-\epsilon)\alpha_f(P) \le h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} \le (1+\epsilon)\alpha_f(P) \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_0(\epsilon).$$

It follows that

$$\bigl\{n \ge n_0(\epsilon) : (1+\epsilon)\alpha_f(P) \le B^{1/n}\bigr\} \subset \bigl\{n \ge n_0(\epsilon) : h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) \le B\bigr\}$$

and

$$\bigl\{n \ge n_0(\epsilon) : h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) \le B\bigr\} \subset \bigl\{n \ge n_0(\epsilon) : (1-\epsilon)\alpha_f(P) \le B^{1/n}\bigr\}.$$

Counting the number of elements in these sets yields

$$\frac{\log B}{\log\bigl((1+\epsilon)\alpha_f(P)\bigr)} - 1 \le \#\bigl\{n \ge 0 : h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) \le B\bigr\}$$

and

$$\#\bigl\{n \ge 0 : h_X^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) \le B\bigr\} \le \frac{\log B}{\log\bigl((1-\epsilon)\alpha_f(P)\bigr)} + n_0(\epsilon) + 1.$$

Dividing by $\log B$ and letting $B \to \infty$ gives

$$\frac{1}{\log\bigl((1+\epsilon)\alpha_f(P)\bigr)} \le \liminf_{B\to\infty} \frac{\#\bigl\{Q \in \mathcal{O}_f(P) : h_X^+(Q) \le B\bigr\}}{\log B}$$

and

$$\limsup_{B\to\infty} \frac{\#\bigl\{Q \in \mathcal{O}_f(P) : h_X^+(Q) \le B\bigr\}}{\log B} \le \frac{1}{\log\bigl((1-\epsilon)\alpha_f(P)\bigr)}.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, and the liminf is less than or equal to the limsup, this completes the proof that

$$\lim_{B\to\infty} \frac{\#\bigl\{Q \in \mathcal{O}_f(P) : h_X^+(Q) \le B\bigr\}}{\log B} = \frac{1}{\log \alpha_f(P)},$$

including the fact that if $\alpha_f(P) = 1$, then the limit is ∞. □

The following elementary linear algebra result will be needed later for the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 13. *Let* $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ *be an* r*-by-*r *matrix. Let* $\|A\| = \max|a_{ij}|$*, and as usual let* $\rho(A)$ *denote the spectral radius of* A*. Then*

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|A^n\|^{1/n}.$$

Proof. For any matrices A and B in $M_r(\mathbb{C})$,the triangle inequality gives the estimate

$$\|AB\| \le r\|A\| \cdot \|B\|.$$

We write $A = P\Lambda P^{-1}$ with Λ in Jordan normal form. Let λ be an eigenvalue of A having largest absolute value such that among such largest eigenvalues, it has the largest Jordan block. Let the dimension of that λ-Jordan block be ℓ. Then

$$\|\Lambda^n\| = \max_{0\le i<\ell} \left\{ \binom{n}{i} |\lambda|^{n-i} \right\},$$

so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Lambda^n\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{0\le i<\ell} \left\{ \binom{n}{i}^{1/n} |\lambda|^{1-i/n} \right\} = |\lambda| = \rho(A). \quad (5)$$

We next observe that

$$\|A^n\| = \|P\Lambda^n P^{-1}\| \le r^2\|P\| \cdot \|P^{-1}\| \cdot \|\Lambda^n\|$$

and

$$\|\Lambda^n\| = \|P^{-1}A^n P\| \le r^2\|P^{-1}\| \cdot \|P\| \cdot \|A^n\|,$$

so there is a constant $C = C(A) = r^2\|P\| \cdot \|P^{-1}\| > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}\|\Lambda^n\| \le \|A^n\| \le C\|\Lambda^n\| \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0.$$

Taking n^{th}-roots, letting $n \to \infty$, and using (5) gives $\|A^n\|^{1/n} \to \rho(A)$. □

3. A DIVISOR INEQUALITY FOR RATIONAL MAPS

Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a rational map. Our goal in this section is to prove the following geometric inequality relating the actions of $(f^*)^n$ and $(f^n)^*$ on the vector space $\mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R}$. This result will provide a crucial estimate in our proof that $\alpha_f(P) \le \delta_f$.

Theorem 14. *Let* X *be a normal projective variety, and fix a basis* $D_1, \dots, D_r$ *for* $\mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R}$*. Any dominant rational map* $g : X \dashrightarrow X$ *induces a linear map on* $\mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R}$*. We write*

$$g^*D_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{ij}(g) D_i \quad \textit{and} \quad A(g) = \bigl(a_{ij}(g)\bigr) \in M_r(\mathbb{R}),$$

and we let $\|\cdot\|$ *dentoe the sup norm on* $M_r(\mathbb{R})$. *Then for any dominant rational map* $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ *there is a constant* $C = C(f, D_1, \dots, D_r) > 0$ *such that*

$$\big\|A(f^m)\big\| \le C\big\|A(f)^m\big\| \quad \textit{for all } m \ge 1.$$

We start with a preliminary result relating $(g \circ f)^*$ and $f^* \circ g^*$. This is essentially shown in [18, Proof of Proposition 1.2(ii)] by an analytic argument; cf. the equation labeled (†) in [18]. We give an algebraic proof.

Proposition 15. *Let* X, Y, Z *be normal projective varieties of the same dimension, and let* $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ *and* $g : Y \dashrightarrow Z$ *be dominant rational maps. Let* D *be a nef divisor on* Z. *Then the divisor*

$$f^*(g^*D) - (g \circ f)^*D \tag{6}$$

is effective. In particular, for any nef divisor H *on* X, *we have*

$$(g \circ f)^*D \cdot H^{N-1} \le f^*(g^*D) \cdot H^{N-1}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Since nef divisors are limits of ample divisors, we may assume that D is ample. Replacing D by kD for sufficiently large k, we may assume that D is very ample and represented by an effective divisor.

We blow up the indeterminacy locus I_f of f so that we have a normal projective variety $\widetilde{X}$, a birational morphism $\pi_{\widetilde{X}} : \widetilde{X} \to X$, and a morphism $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{X} \to Y$ such that $\widetilde{f} = f \circ \pi_{\widetilde{X}}$. Similarly, we blow up the indeterminacy locus I_g of g so that we have a normal projective variety $\widetilde{Y}$, a birational morphism $\pi_{\widetilde{Y}} : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$, and a morphism $\widetilde{g} : \widetilde{Y} \to Z$ such that $\widetilde{g} = g \circ \pi_{\widetilde{Y}}$.

Let $h : \widetilde{X} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{Y}$ be the induced dominant rational map. We blow up the indeterminacy locus I_h of h so that we have a normal projective variety W, a birational morphism $\pi_W : W \to \widetilde{X}$, and a morphism $\widetilde{h} : W \to \widetilde{Y}$ such that $\widetilde{h} = h \circ \pi_W$. The varieties and maps are illustrated in Figure 1

We set

$$B = \widetilde{f}^*\pi_{\widetilde{Y}*}\widetilde{g}^*D - \pi_{W*}\widetilde{h}^*\widetilde{g}^*D \in \operatorname{Div}(\widetilde{X}).$$

We claim that $-B$ is $\widetilde{f}$-nef. Let $C \subset \widetilde{X}$ be a curve. If $\widetilde{f}(C)$ is a curve, then from the definition of B we see that we have $B \cdot C = 0$. Suppose now that $\widetilde{f}(C)$ is a point. Then

$$\big(\widetilde{f}^*\pi_{\widetilde{Y}*}\widetilde{g}^*D\big) \cdot C = \big(\pi_{\widetilde{Y}*}\widetilde{g}^*D\big) \cdot \big(\widetilde{f}_*C\big) = 0$$

and

$$\big(\pi_{W*}\widetilde{h}^*\widetilde{g}^*D\big) \cdot C = \big(\widetilde{h}^*\widetilde{g}^*D\big) \cdot \big(\pi_W^*C\big) \ge 0,$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W \arrow[d, "\pi_W"'] \arrow[dr, "\widetilde{h}"] & & \\
\widetilde{X} \arrow[r, dashed, "h"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{\widetilde{X}}"'] \arrow[dr, "\widetilde{f}"] & \widetilde{Y} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\widetilde{Y}}"'] \arrow[dr, "\widetilde{g}"] & \\
X \arrow[r, dashed, "f"] & Y \arrow[r, dashed, "g"] & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

FIGURE 1. Resolution of the maps f, g, and $g \circ f$

which shows that $(-B) \cdot C \geq 0$.

Applying the negativity lemma [23, Lemma 3.39], we see that B is effective if and only if $\widetilde{f}_*(B)$ is effective. We first note that since π_W and $\pi_{\widetilde{Y}}$ are birational, we have

$$\deg \widetilde{h} = \deg \pi_{\widetilde{Y}} \circ \widetilde{h} = \deg \widetilde{f} \circ \pi_W = \deg \widetilde{f}. \tag{8}$$

We use the projection formula [16, Chapter 2, Proposition 2.3(c)] to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}_*(B) &= \widetilde{f}_* \widetilde{f}^* \pi_{\widetilde{Y}*} \widetilde{g}^* D - \widetilde{f}_* \pi_{W*} \widetilde{h}^* \widetilde{g}^* D \\
&= (\deg \widetilde{f}) \pi_{\widetilde{Y}*} \widetilde{g}^* D - \widetilde{f}_* \pi_{W*} \widetilde{h}^* \widetilde{g}^* D \\
&= (\deg \widetilde{f}) \pi_{\widetilde{Y}*} \widetilde{g}^* D - \pi_{\widetilde{Y}*} \widetilde{h}_* \widetilde{h}^* \widetilde{g}^* D \\
&= (\deg \widetilde{f}) \pi_{\widetilde{Y}*} \widetilde{g}^* D - \pi_{\widetilde{Y}*} \widetilde{g}^* (\deg \widetilde{h}) D \\
&= 0 \quad \text{from (8).}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that B is effective. Then $\pi_{\widetilde{X}*} B$ is an effective divisor on X. By definition, we have

$$\pi_{\widetilde{X}*} B = f^*(g^* D) - (g \circ f)^* D,$$

which give (6). Finally, since H is nef, we have $E \cdot H^{N-1} \geq 0$ for any effective divisor E. Taking $E = \pi_{\widetilde{X}*} B$ gives (7), which completes the proof of Proposition 15. □

We now give the proof of Theorem 14.

Proof of Theorem 14. We set the following notation.

N	the dimension of X, which we assume is at least 2.
$\operatorname{Amp}(X)$	the ample cone in $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of all ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisors.
$\operatorname{Nef}(X)$	the nef cone in $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of all nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisors.

$\mathrm{Eff}(X)$	the effective cone in $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of all effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisors.
$\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X)$	the pseudoeffective cone, i.e., the $\mathbb{R}$-closure of $\mathrm{Eff}(X)$.

As explained in [16, Section 1.4], we have

$$\mathrm{Nef}(X) = \overline{\mathrm{Amp}(X)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Amp}(X) = \mathrm{int}\bigl(\mathrm{Nef}(X)\bigr).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$ is a closed convex cone. Also, since $\mathrm{Amp}(X) \subset \mathrm{Eff}(X)$, it follows that $\mathrm{Nef}(X) \subset \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X)$.

Lemma 16. *With notation as above, let $D \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X) \smallsetminus \{0\}$ and $H \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)$. Then $D \cdot H^{N-1} > 0$.*

Proof. Since H is ample and D is on the boundary of the effective cone, we certainly have $D \cdot H^{N-1} \ge 0$. Our goal is to prove that we have a strict inequality.

We first consider the case $N = 2$. Since $D \ne 0$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, there is a divisor E such that $D \cdot E \ne 0$. Replacing E by $-E$ if necessary, we may assume that $D \cdot E < 0$. Choose $k > 0$ sufficiently large so that $kH + E$ is ample. Since D is a limit of effective divisors, we have $D \cdot (kH + E) \ge 0$. Hence

$$D \cdot H = \frac{-D \cdot E}{k} > 0.$$

We now proceed by induction on N. Since $D \ne 0$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we can find a curve $C \subset X$ such that $D \cdot C \ne 0$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a hypersurface with $C \subset Y$. Our induction hypthesis says that

$$D|_Y \cdot (H|_Y)^{N-2} > 0.$$

Hence $D \cdot Y \cdot H^{N-2} > 0$. Choose $k > 0$ sufficiently large so that $kH - Y$ is ample. Then $D \cdot (kH - Y) \cdot H^{N-2} \ge 0$, since D is a limit of effective divisors. Hence

$$D \cdot H^{N-1} = \frac{D \cdot kH \cdot H^{N-2}}{k} \ge \frac{D \cdot Y \cdot H^{N-2}}{k} > 0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 16. □

Lemma 17. *Let $H \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)$, and fix some norm $|\cdot|$ on the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. There are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1|v| \le v \cdot H^{N-1} \le C_2|v| \quad \textit{for all } v \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X). \tag{9}$$

In particular, the inequality (9) holds for all $v \in \mathrm{Nef}(X)$.

Proof. We consider the map

$$\varphi : \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi(w) = w \cdot H^{N-1}.$$

Since φ is continuous, it attains its minimum and (finite) maximum on the compact set

$$\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X) \cap \bigl\{w \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} : |w| = 1\bigr\}.$$

Lemma 16 tells us that $\varphi(w) > 0$ for all nonzero $w \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X)$, so the minimum is strictly positive, say

$$C_1 = \inf\bigl\{\varphi(w) : w \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X) \text{ and } |w| = 1\bigr\} > 0.$$

Then for all $v \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X) \smallsetminus \{0\}$ we have

$$v \cdot H^{n-1} = |v|\varphi\left(\frac{v}{|v|}\right) \ge C_1|v|.$$

Similarly, letting

$$C_2 = \sup\bigl\{\varphi(w) : w \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X) \text{ and } |w| = 1\bigr\} < \infty,$$

we have

$$v \cdot H^{n-1} = |v|\varphi\left(\frac{v}{|v|}\right) \le C_2|v|.$$

This proves the first part of Lemma 17, and the last assertion is then clear, since $\mathrm{Nef}(X) \subseteq \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}(X)$. $\square$

We resume the proof of Theorem 14. As in the proof of Lemma 17, we fix a norm $|\cdot|$ on the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, and for any linear map $A : \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we set

$$\|A\|' = \sup_{v \in \mathrm{Nef}(X) \smallsetminus 0} \frac{|Av|}{|v|}$$

We note that for linear maps $A, B \in \mathrm{End}\bigl(\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}\bigr)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|A+B\|' \le \|A\|' + \|B\|' \quad \text{and} \quad \|cA\| = |c|\,\|A\|.$$

Further, since $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$ generates $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ as an $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, we have $\|A\|' = 0$ if and only if $A = 0$. Thus $\|\cdot\|'$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-norm on $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The maps $(f^m)^*$ for $m \ge 1$ preserve $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$. This allows us to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigl\|(f^m)^*\bigr\|' &= \sup_{v \in \mathrm{Nef}(X) \smallsetminus 0} \frac{\bigl|(f^m)^*v\bigr|}{|v|} \\
&\le C_1^{-1} \sup_{v \in \mathrm{Nef}(X) \smallsetminus 0} \frac{(f^m)^*v \cdot H^{N-1}}{|v|} \qquad \text{from Lemma 17,} \\
&\le C_1^{-1} \sup_{v \in \mathrm{Nef}(X) \smallsetminus 0} \frac{(f^*)^m v \cdot H^{N-1}}{|v|} \qquad \text{from Proposition 15,}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\le C_1^{-1}C_2 \sup_{v\in \operatorname{Nef}(X)\smallsetminus 0} \frac{\bigl|(f^*)^m v\bigr|}{|v|} \qquad \text{from Lemma 17,} \\ &= C_1^{-1}C_2 \bigl\|(f^*)^m\bigr\|'. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that we have defined $\|\cdot\|$ to be the sup norm on $M_r(\mathbb{R}) = \operatorname{End}\bigl(\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}\bigr)$, where the identification is via the given basis $D_1,\dots,D_r$ of $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We thus have two norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ on $\operatorname{End}\bigl(\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}\bigr)$, so there are positive constants C_3 and C_4 such that

$$C_3\|\gamma\| \le \|\gamma\|' \le C_4\|\gamma\| \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \operatorname{End}\bigl(\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}\bigr).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\| = \bigl\|(f^m)^*\bigr\| &\le C_3^{-1}\bigl\|(f^m)^*\bigr\|' \le C_3^{-1}C_1^{-1}C_2\bigl\|(f^*)^m\bigr\|' \\ &\le C_3^{-1}C_1^{-1}C_2C_4\bigl\|(f^*)^m\bigr\| = C_3^{-1}C_1^{-1}C_2C_4\bigl\|A(f)^m\bigr\|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 14. □

4. A HEIGHT INEQUALITY FOR RATIONAL MAPS

Let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a rational map and D a divisor on X. Our goal in this section is to prove an arithmetic inequality relating the height functions $h_D \circ f$ and h_{f^*D}. For rational self-maps $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ of projective space, the desired result follows by an elementary triangle inequality argument [21, Theorem B.2.5(a)], but the proof for general varieties $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ is more complicated because the pullback of an ample divisor by f need not be ample. For standard definitions and properties of height functions and Weil's height machine, see for example [10, 21, 24, 29, 30]; and for an alternative proof of Proposition 18, see Section 7.

Proposition 18. *Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a normal projective variety, let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ be an ample divisor, and fix Weil height functions h_D and h_{f^*D} on $X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ associated to D and f^*D. Then*

$$h_D \circ f(P) \le h_{f^*D}(P) + O(1) \quad \text{for all } P \in (X \smallsetminus I_f)(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

where the $O(1)$ bound depends on X, f, and the choice of height functions, but is independent of P.

Proof. As described in [19, Example II.7.17.3], we can blow up the indeterminacy locus I_f of f to get a normal projective variety Y, a birational morphism $p : Y \to X$, and a morphism $g : Y \to X$ such that $f = g \circ p^{-1}$. For any effective divisor D on X, the pullback f^*D is defined by

$$f^*D = p_*(g^*D).$$

We note that f^*D is independent of the choice of Y.

Lemma 19. *With notation as above, assume that D is nef. Then the divisor $p^*p_*(g^*D) - g^*D$ is effective.*

Proof. We set $B = p^*p_*(g^*D) - g^*D$. For any curve C on Y such that $p(Y)$ is a point, we have

$$-B \cdot C = (g^*D) \cdot C - (p^*p_*(g^*D)) \cdot C = (g^*D) \cdot C \geq 0$$

Thus $-B$ is p-nef. It follows from the negativity lemma (see [23, Lemma 3.39]) that B is effective if and only if p_*B is effective. Since $p_*B = 0$, we conclude that B is effective. □

We now resume the proof of Proposition 18, so in particular we assume that D is ample. For a sufficiently large m, the divisor mD is very ample, so there exists an effective divisor D' that is linearly equivalent to mD. Since f^*D' is linearly equivalent to $f^*(mD)$, we may assume that D is effective.

We set

$$B = p^*p_*(g^*D) - g^*D.$$

Lemma 19 tells us that B is an effective divisor with the property that

$$p\bigl(\operatorname{Supp}(B)\bigr) \subset I_f.$$

For any $\tilde{P} \in Y(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \smallsetminus \operatorname{Supp}(B)$, we estimate $h_{p^*p_*(g^*D)}(\tilde{P})$ in two ways. First we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{p^*p_*(g^*D)}(\tilde{P}) &= h_{g^*D+B}(\tilde{P}) \\ &= h_{g^*D}(\tilde{P}) + h_B(\tilde{P}) + O(1) \\ &\geq h_{g^*D}(\tilde{P}) + O(1), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the last inequality follows from the positivity of the height h_B on $X \smallsetminus \operatorname{Supp}(B)$ for the effective divisor B; see [21, Theorem B.3.2(e)]. Secondly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{p^*p_*(g^*D)}(\tilde{P}) &= h_{p_*(g^*D)}(p(\tilde{P})) + O(1) \\ &= h_{f^*D}(p(\tilde{P})) + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now let $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \smallsetminus I_f$. Then there exists a unique point $\tilde{P} \in Y \smallsetminus p^{-1}(I_f)$ with $p(\tilde{P}) = P$. Since $\operatorname{Supp}(B) \subseteq p^{-1}(I_f)$, we have $P \in Y \smallsetminus \operatorname{Supp}(B)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h_{f^*D}(P) &= h_{f^*D}(p(\tilde{P})) && \text{since } P = p(\tilde{P}), \\ &= h_{p^*p_*(g^*D)}(\tilde{P}) + O(1) && \text{from (11)}, \\ &\geq h_{g^*D}(\tilde{P}) + O(1) && \text{from (10)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= h_D(g(\tilde{P})) + O(1) && \text{since } g \text{ is a morphism,} \\ &= h_D(f(P)) + O(1) && \text{since } g(\tilde{P}) = f(P). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 18. □

Remark 20. Proposition 18 is true more generally for a nef divisor D such that there exists an $m \geq 1$ such that mD is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.

5. Arithmetic degree is bounded by dynamical degree

In this section we prove the main result of this paper, which we restate from the introduction.

Theorem 21. *Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a (normal) projective variety, let $f : X \dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, and let $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$. Then*

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(P) \leq \delta_f. \tag{12}$$

Proof. If P is f-preperiodic, then $\overline{\alpha}_f(P) = 1 \leq \delta_f$, so there is nothing to prove. We assume henceforth that $\#\mathcal{O}_f(P) = \infty$. We fix a large integer m and set

$$g = f^m.$$

We note that $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_g$, since $X_g \subset X_f$. We choose ample divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ whose algebraic equivalence classes form a basis for $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$. We fix height functions $h_{D_1}, \ldots, h_{D_r}$ associated to the divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r$. To ease notation, we assume that h_{D_1} is chosen to satisfy $h_{D_1} \geq 1$. Then Proposition 11 says that we may take h_X, the ample height used to define the arithmetic degree, to be

$$h_X^+(Q) = h_X(Q) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} h_{D_i}(Q).$$

Applying g^* to the divisors in our basis of $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have algebraic equivalences

$$g^* D_k \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{ik}(g) D_i \quad \text{for some } a_{ik}(g) \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{13}$$

and since these algebraic equivalences are taking place in $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the coefficients satisfy $a_{ik}(g) \in \mathbb{Q}$. We set the notation

$$A(g) = \bigl(a_{ik}(g)\bigr) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigl\|A(g)\bigr\| = \max_{i,k} \bigl|a_{ik}(g)\bigr|.$$

Algebraic equivalences of divisors as in (13) implies a height relation as in the following result.

Lemma 22. *Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a normal variety, let D be an ample divisor with associated height h_D, let $h_D^+ = \max\{h_D, 1\}$, and let E be a divisor that is algebraically equivalent to 0 with associated height h_E. There is a constant $C = C(h_D, h_E)$ such that*

$$\bigl|h_E(P)\bigr| \le C\sqrt{h_D^+(P)} \quad \textit{for all } P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}). \tag{14}$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{\substack{P\in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})\\ h_D(P)\to\infty}} \frac{h_E(P)}{h_D(P)} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Proof. See for example [21, Theorem B.5.9] for a proof of (14). For a proof of the weaker estimate (15), which is all that we will need for the proof of Theorem 21, see for example [21, Theorem B.3.2(f)] or [24, Chapter 4, Proposition 3.3]. The stronger estimate will be needed for the proof of Corollary 23. □

Applying Lemma 22 to (13), we find that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C_1(\epsilon, g)$ so that

$$\left| h_{g^*D_k}(Q) - \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{ik}(g) h_{D_i}(Q) \right| \le \epsilon h_X(Q) \qquad \text{for all } Q \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \text{ satisfying } h_X(Q) \ge C_1(\epsilon, g). \tag{16}$$

(Here and in what follows, the constants $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ may depend on the divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ and their associated height functions.)

We apply Proposition 18 to the rational map g and to each of the ample divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_r$. Thus for all points Q satisfying $h_X(Q) \ge C_1(\epsilon, g)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_X\bigl(g(Q)\bigr) &= \max_{1\le k\le r} h_{D_k}\bigl(g(Q)\bigr) \\ &\le \max_{1\le k\le r} \bigl(h_{g^*D_k}(Q) + C_2(g)\bigr) \quad \text{from Proposition 18,} \\ &\le \max_{1\le k\le r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{ik}(g) h_{D_i}(Q)\right) + \epsilon h_X(Q) + C_2(g) \quad \text{from (16),} \\ &\le \left(r \max_{1\le i,k\le r} \bigl|a_{ik}(g)\bigr| + \epsilon \right) h_X(Q) + C_2(g) \\ &\le (r+\epsilon)\bigl\|A(g)\bigr\| h_X(Q) + C_2(g). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The point P has infinite f-orbit, so there are only finitely many points in its f-orbit having height smaller than $C_1(\epsilon, g)$. Lemma 12 says that $\overline{\alpha}_f\bigl(f^k(P)\bigr) = \overline{\alpha}_f(P)$ for any $k \ge 0$, so replacing P with $f^k(P)$, we may assume that every point $f^n(P)$ in the f-orbit of P satisfies $h_X\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) \ge C_1(\epsilon, g)$.

This allows us to apply the estimate (17) to each of the points

$$Q \in \bigl\{P, g(P), g^2(P), \ldots, g^{n-1}(P)\bigr\},$$

which gives a chain of inequalities that together imply that

$$h_X\bigl(g^n(P)\bigr) \le \bigl((r+\epsilon)\bigl\|A(g)\bigr\|\bigr)^n \bigl(h_X(P) + C_3(g)\bigr).$$

Taking n^{th}-roots and letting $n \to \infty$ gives

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} h_X\bigl(g^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} \le (r+\epsilon)\bigl\|A(g)\bigr\|.$$

Notice that by definition, the left-hand side is $\overline{\alpha}_g(P)$, and also $g = f^m$, so we have proven that

$$\overline{\alpha}_{f^m}(P) \le (r+\epsilon)\bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|.$$

The right-hand side is independent of P, so we can apply this inequality to each of the points $P, f(P), \ldots, f^{m-1}(P)$ to obtain

$$\max_{0\le i<m} \overline{\alpha}_{f^m}\bigl(f^i(P)\bigr) \le (r+\epsilon)\bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|. \tag{18}$$

But directly from the definition of $\overline{\alpha}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{0\le i<m} \overline{\alpha}_{f^m}\bigl(f^i(P)\bigr) &= \max_{0\le i<m} \limsup_{k\to\infty} h\bigl((f^m)^k(f^i(P))\bigr)^{1/k} \\
&= \max_{0\le i<m} \limsup_{k\to\infty} h\bigl(f^{mk+i}(P)\bigr)^{1/k} \\
&= \max_{0\le i<m} \limsup_{k\to\infty} \Bigl(h\bigl(f^{mk+i}(P)\bigr)^{1/(mk+i)}\Bigr)^{m+i/k} \\
&= \Bigl(\limsup_{n\to\infty} h\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n}\Bigr)^m \\
&= \overline{\alpha}_f(P)^m,
\end{aligned}$$

so taking m^{th}-roots of (18), we find that

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(P) \le (r+\epsilon)^{1/m}\bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|^{1/m}.$$

The left-hand side does not depend on m, so letting $m \to \infty$ yields

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(P) \le \liminf_{m\to\infty} \bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|^{1/m}. \tag{19}$$

It remains to relate the limiting behavior of $\bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|^{1/m}$ to the dynamical degree of f. If f is algebraically stable, then by definition we have $(f^n)^* = (f^*)^n$ as linear maps on $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, which implies that

$A(f^m) = A(f)^m$. Then a Jordan normal form calculation (Lemma 13) gives

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|^{1/m} = \lim_{m\to\infty} \bigl\|A(f)^m\bigr\|^{1/m} = \rho(f) = \delta_f,$$

which concludes the proof.

If f is not algebraically stable, the argument is similar, using the highly nontrivial relation between $\bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|$ and $\bigl\|A(f)^m\bigr\|$ provided by Theorem 14. We fix an integer $\ell \ge 1$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\alpha}_f(P) &\le \liminf_{m\to\infty} \bigl\|A(f^m)\bigr\|^{1/m} \quad \text{from (19),}\\
&\le \liminf_{m\to\infty} \bigl\|A(f^{m\ell})\bigr\|^{1/m\ell} \quad \text{taking a subsequence,}\\
&= \left(\liminf_{m\to\infty} \bigl\|A\bigl((f^\ell)^m\bigr)\bigr\|^{1/m}\right)^{1/\ell}\\
&\le \left(\liminf_{m\to\infty} \Bigl(C(f^\ell)\bigl\|\bigl(A(f^\ell)\bigr)^m\bigr\|\Bigr)^{1/m}\right)^{1/\ell} \text{Theorem 14 with } f^\ell,\\
&\le \rho\bigl(A(f^\ell)\bigr)^{1/\ell} \quad \text{applying Lemma 13 to } A(f^\ell).
\end{aligned}$$

This holds for all ℓ, so letting $\ell \to \infty$ yields

$$\overline{\alpha}_f(P) \le \lim_{\ell\to\infty} \rho\bigl(A(f^\ell)\bigr)^{1/\ell} = \delta_f,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 21. $\square$

6. AN APPLICATION TO CANONICAL HEIGHTS

In this section we use Theorem 21 to prove that the usual canonical height limit converges for certain divisor classes that are eigenclasses relative to algebraic equivalence.

Corollary 23. . *Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a normal projective variety, let $f : X \to X$ be a morphism with dynamical degree $\delta_f > 1$, and let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be an eigendivisor satisfying*

$$f^*D \equiv \delta_f D \quad \textit{in } \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

(a) *For all $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, the following limit converges:*

$$\hat{h}_{D,f}(P) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_f^{-n} h_D\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr).$$

(b) *If D is nef and $\hat{h}_{D,f}(P) > 0$, then $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_f$.*

Remark 24. If f is algebraically stable, so in particular if f is a morphism, then there is always at least one nonzero nef divisor class $D \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying $f^*D \equiv \delta_f D$. So there is always at least one nontrivial nef divisor class to which Corollary 23 applies, although there need not be any such ample divisor classes. As noted by De-Qi Zhang, the existence of a nef D is immediate from the following elementary Perron–Frobenius-type result of Birkhoff, applied to the vector space $\mathbb{R}^r = \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, the linear transformation $T = f^*$, and the cone $C = \mathrm{Nef}(X)$; cf. [14, Lemma 1.12].

Proposition 25. (Birkhoff [9]) *Let C be a proper convex cone with nonempty interior in $\mathbb{R}^r$, and let $T : \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathbb{R}^r$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map with $T(C) \subseteq C$. Then C contains an eigenvector whose eigenvalue is the spectral radius of T.*

Question 26. It is clear that the canonical height $\hat{h}_{D,f}$ in Corollary 23 satisfies $\hat{h}_{D,f} \circ f = \delta_f \hat{h}_{D,f}$. Assuming that D is nef, and letting h_A be the height relative to an ample divisor, it would be interesting to know whether the difference $\hat{h}_{D,f} - h_D$ is $o(h_A)$, or even better $O(\sqrt{h_A}\,)$. It would also be interesting to know if Corollary 23 is true for algebraically stable rational maps that are not morphisms.

Proof of Corollary 23. (a) We fix an ample divisor A on X and an associated height function h_A, and as usual we let $h_A^+ = \max\{h_A, 1\}$. To ease notation, we let $\delta = \delta_f > 1$. Let $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. Theorem 21 says that $\alpha_f(P) \le \delta$. By definition, this means that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an n_0 such that

$$h_A^+\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} \le \delta + \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \ge n_0(P). \tag{20}$$

We are given that $f^*D \equiv \delta D$. Applying Lemma 22 with $E = f^*D - \delta D$ and using linearity and functoriality of the height (note that we have assumed that f is a morphism), we find a constant $C = C(D, A, f)$ such that

$$\bigl|h_D\bigl(f(Q)\bigr) - \delta h_D(Q)\bigr| \le C\sqrt{h_A^+(Q)} \quad \text{for all } Q \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}). \tag{21}$$

For $N \ge M \ge n_0(P)$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Bigl|\delta^{-N} h_D\bigl(f^N(P)\bigr) - \delta^{-M} h_D\bigl(f^M(P)\bigr)\Bigr| \\
&= \biggl|\sum_{n=M+1}^{N} \delta^{-n}\Bigl(h_D\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) - \delta h_D\bigl(f^{n-1}(P)\bigr)\Bigr)\biggr| \\
&\le \sum_{n=M+1}^{N} \delta^{-n}\Bigl|h_D\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) - \delta h_D\bigl(f^{n-1}(P)\bigr)\Bigr| \\
&\le \sum_{n=M+1}^{N} \delta^{-n} C\sqrt{h_A^+\bigl(f^{n-1}(P)\bigr)} \quad \text{applying (21) with } Q = f^{n-1}(P),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq \sum_{n=M+1}^{N} \delta^{-n} C\sqrt{(\delta+\epsilon)^{n-1}} \quad \text{from (20)},\\ &\leq C\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\delta+\epsilon}{\delta^2}\right)^{n/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Note the importance of the square root estimate (14) in Lemma 22. If we only had the little-o estimate (15), the proof would break down at this point.

We set

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\delta(\delta-1), \quad \text{which makes} \quad \frac{\delta+\epsilon}{\delta^2} = \frac{\delta+1}{2\delta} < 1.$$

Then the series (22) converges, so we find that there is a constant $C' = C'(X, f, D, A, \epsilon)$ such that for all $N \geq M \geq n_0(P)$,

$$\left|\delta^{-N} h_D\bigl(f^N(P)\bigr) - \delta^{-M} h_D\bigl(f^M(P)\bigr)\right| \leq C' \left(\frac{\delta+1}{2\delta}\right)^{(M+1)/2}.$$

This proves that the sequence $\delta^{-N} h_D\bigl(f^N(P)\bigr)$ is Cauchy, hence converges.

(b) Let A be an ample divisor. Then $D + A$ is ample, since D is nef. We choose an n_0 such that $\delta_f^{-n} h_D\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) \geq \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_{D,f}(P)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then for $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{D+A}\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) &= h_D\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) + h_A\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) + O_{D,A}(1)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\delta_f^n \hat{h}_{D,f}(P) + h_A\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr) + O_{D,A}(1). \end{aligned}$$

The height function h_A is bounded below, since A is ample, so taking n^{th}-roots and using the assumptions that $\hat{h}_{D,f}(P)$ and $\delta_f > 1$ yields

$$\underline{\alpha}_f(P) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} h_{D+A}\bigl(f^n(P)\bigr)^{1/n} \geq \delta_f,$$

which combined with Theorem 21 gives $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_f$. □

7. AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF PROPOSITION 18

In this section we give an alternative, more elementary, proof of Proposition 18. The proof uses three lemmas, one geometric, one arithmetic, and the third combining the first two.

Lemma 27. *Let k be a field, let X/k be a normal projective variety, and let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X/k)$ be an effective divisor. Then there exists an integer $r \geq 1$ and an effective ample divisor $D' \in \operatorname{Div}(X/k)$ such that $rD + D'$ is ample.*

Proof. Let $H \in \mathrm{Div}(X/k)$ be an ample divisor. Then there exists an integer $m \ge 1$ such that $mH - D$ is ample, and hence an integer $r \ge 1$ such that $rmH - rD$ is very ample. Since $rmH - rD$ is very ample, there is an effective (and necessarily very ample) divisor D' that is linearly equivalent to $rmH - rD$. Then $rD + D' \sim rmH$ is (very) ample, since it is a positive multiple of a very ample divisor □

Lemma 28. *Let $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_m \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ with not all of the α_i equal to 0. Then*

$$h\bigl([\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_m]\bigr) \ge h\bigl([\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n]\bigr).$$

Proof. Let K be a number field containing $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_m$ and let M_K be an appropriately normalized set of inequivalent absolute values on K. Then by definition of the height on $\mathbb{P}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h\bigl([\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n]\bigr) &= \sum_{v \in M_K} \log\max\bigl\{\|\alpha_0\|_v, \dots, \|\alpha_n\|_v\bigr\} \\ &\le \sum_{v \in M_K} \log\max\bigl\{\|\alpha_0\|_v, \dots, \|\alpha_n\|_v, \|\beta_0\|_v, \dots, \|\beta_m\|_v\bigr\} \\ &= h\bigl([\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_m]\bigr), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 28. □

Lemma 29. *Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a normal projective variety, let $D \in \mathrm{Div}(X)$ be an effective divisor, and let $1 = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Gamma\bigl(X, \mathcal{O}(D)\bigr)$. Fix a height function h_D on $X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ associated to D. Then for all points $P \in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $x_0, \dots, x_n$ are defined at P, we have*

$$h_D(P) \ge h\bigl(\bigl[x_0(P), x_1(P), \dots, x_n(P)\bigr]\bigr) - C,$$

where the constant C depends on X, f, and the choice of h_D, but is independent of P.

Proof. Let

$$\tau = [x_0, \dots, x_n] : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$$

be the rational map induced by the functions $x_0, \dots, x_n$.

First we note that it suffices to prove the lemma for a positive multiple dD of D. To see this, we use the d-uple embedding $\sigma_d : \mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^N$; see [19, Exercise I.2.12]. The d-uple embedding has the property that there is an exact equality

$$h\bigl(\sigma_d(Q)\bigr) = dh(Q); \tag{23}$$

see [21, Proposition B.2.4]. Suppose that the lemma is true for dD and all choices of functions in $\Gamma\bigl(X, \mathcal{O}(dD)\bigr)$. We take the functions

$y_0,\dots,y_m$ consisting of all monomials $x_0^{e_0}x_1^{e_1}\cdots x_n^{e_n}$ satisfying $e_i\ge 0$ and $\sum e_i = d$. We note that every y_i is in $\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}(dD)\bigr)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
h_D(P) &= \frac{1}{d}h_{dD}(P)\\
&\ge \frac{1}{d}h\bigl([y_0(P),y_1(P),\dots,y_m(P)]\bigr) - C\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{since we are assuming that the lemma is true for } dD,\\
&= \frac{1}{d}h\bigl(\sigma_d(\tau(P))\bigr) - C\\
&= h\bigl(\tau(P)\bigr) - C \quad \text{from (23),}\\
&= h\bigl([x_0(P),x_1(P),\dots,x_n(P)]\bigr) - C.
\end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 27 to find an integer $r\ge 1$ and an effective ample divisor $D'\in \operatorname{Div}(X/k)$ such that $rD+D'$ is ample. As noted above, we may replace D by rD, and by the same remark, we may replace D and D' be appropriate multiples so that D' and $D+D'$ are very ample. We choose a basis $1=z_0,z_1,\dots,z_\ell$ for $\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D')\bigr)$. Then the functions x_iz_j satisfy

$$x_iz_j\in\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D+D')\bigr)\quad\text{for } 0\le i\le n \text{ and } 0\le j\le \ell,$$

so we can find a spanning set $1=w_0,w_1,\dots,w_k$ for $\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D+D')\bigr)$ whose first $(n+1)(\ell+1)$ elements are the functions x_iz_j.

In order to define the Weil height associated to a divisor, one writes the divisor as the difference of very ample divisors and takes the difference of the heights, where the height associated to a very ample divisor is defined by using an associated projective embedding. In our case, we have written D as the difference $(D+D')-D'$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_D(P) &= h_{D+D'}(P) - h_{D'}(P)\\
&= h\bigl([w_0(P),\dots,w_k(P)]\bigr) - h\bigl([z_0(P),\dots,z_\ell(P)]\bigr)\\
&\ge h\bigl([x_iz_j(P)]_{0\le i\le n,\,0\le j\le \ell}\bigr) - h\bigl([z_0(P),\dots,z_\ell(P)]\bigr)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{from Lemma 28,}\\
&= h\bigl([x_0(P),\dots,x_n(P)]\bigr) \quad \text{from [21, Proposition B.2.4(b)]}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(Segre embedding).}
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing a different representative for h_D will introduce a bounded error, which accounts for the C in the statement of the lemma. This completes the proof of Lemma 29 for all points at which the functions $x_0,\dots,x_n,w_0,\dots,w_k,z_0,\dots,z_\ell$ are regular. But since $D+D'$ and D' are very ample, we can repeat the argument using a finite number of other bases for $\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D+D')\bigr)$ and $\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D')\bigr)$ so as to obtain the desired estimate for all points at which $x_0,\dots,x_n$ are regular. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 18. Replacing D by a multiple, we may assume that D is very ample and effective. We let $1=x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n$ be a basis for $\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D)\bigr)$.

By definition, if E is a prime divisor, i.e., an irreducible codimension 1 subvariety, then f^*E is equal to the Zariski closure $\overline{f^{-1}(E\smallsetminus I_f)}$. Hence our assumption that D is effective implies that f^*D is effective. Further, there is a natural map

$$f^*:\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D)\bigr)\longrightarrow\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(f^*D)\bigr),$$

so in particular,

$$f^*x_0,\dots,f^*x_n\in\Gamma\bigl(X,\mathcal{O}_X(f^*D)\bigr).$$

We apply Lemma 29 to the divisor f^*D and functions $f^*x_0,\dots,f^*x_n$. This yields

$$h_{f^*D}(P)\ge h\bigl(\bigl[f^*x_0(P),\dots,f^*x_n(P)\bigr]\bigr)-C. \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, the functions $x_0,\dots,x_n$ give an embedding

$$\tau=[x_0,\dots,x_n]:X\hookrightarrow\mathbb{P}^n\quad\text{satisfying}\quad\tau^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)=\mathcal{O}_X(D),$$

so for points $Q\in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ at which $x_0,\dots,x_n$ are regular, we have

$$h_D(Q)=h\bigl(\tau(Q)\bigr)=h\bigl(\bigl[x_0(Q),x_1(Q),\dots,x_n(Q)\bigr]\bigr)+O(1).$$

Applying this with $Q=f(P)$ and noting that $x_i\bigl(f(P)\bigr)=f^*x_i(P)$, we find that

$$h_D\bigl(f(P)\bigr)=h\bigl(\bigl[f^*x_0(P),\dots,f^*x_n(P)\bigr]\bigr)+O(1). \tag{25}$$

Combining (24) and (25) gives

$$h_{f^*D}(P)\ge h_D\bigl(f(P)\bigr)+O(1),$$

which gives the desired result for points where all of the functions $f^*x_0,\dots,f^*x_n$ are regular. By taking a finite number of different effective divisors in the very ample divisor class of D, we obtain analogous inequalities that cover all points P at which f is defined. $\square$

8. Some Instances of Conjecture 4

Let $X/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a (normal) projective variety, let $f:X\dashrightarrow X$ be a dominant rational map, and let $P\in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f$. We recall that Conjecture 4 asserts:

- $\alpha_f(P)$ exists and is an algebraic integer.
- If $\mathcal{O}_f(P)$ is Zariski dense in X, then $\alpha_f(P)=\delta_f$.
- $\bigl\{\alpha_f(P):P\in X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_f\bigr\}$ is a finite set.

The following theorem describes some cases for which we can prove Conjecture 4.

Theorem 30. *Conjecture* 4 *is true in the following situations*:

(a) f *is a morphism and* $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}$.
(b) f *is the extension to* $\mathbb{P}^N$ *of a regular affine automorphism* $\mathbb{A}^N \to \mathbb{A}^N$.
(c) X *is a smooth projective surface and* f *is an automorphism.*
(d) $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ *is a semisimple monomial map and we consider points* $P \in \mathbb{G}_m^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. (*A monomial map is* semisimple *if its associated matrix of exponents is diagonalizable over* $\mathbb{C}$.)

Proof. See [22]. $\square$

Remark 31. The maps in Theorem 30(a,b,c) are algebraically stable. (This is automatic for morphisms, and it is also true for regular affine automorphisms.) We note that if f is algebraically stable, then

$$\delta_f = \lim_{n\to\infty} \rho\bigl((f^n)^*\bigr)^{1/n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \rho\bigl((f^*)^n\bigr)^{1/n} = \rho(f^*),$$

so δ_f is automatically an algebraic integer. Monomial maps are not, in general, algebraically stable, but their dynamical degrees are known to be algebraic integers [20].

We also mention the following result from [22] which shows in certain cases that $\alpha_f(P) = \delta_f$ for a "large" collection of points. The proof uses p-adic methods, weak lower canonical heights, and Guedj's classification of degree 2 planar maps [17].

Theorem 32. *Let* $f : \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$ *be an affine morphism defined over* $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ *whose extension to* $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ *is dominant. Assume that one of the following is true*:

(a) *The map* f *is algebraically stable, i.e., for all* $n \ge 1$ *we have* $(f^*)^n = (f^n)^*$ *on* $\mathrm{NS}(\mathbb{P}^2)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
(b) $\deg(f) = 2$.

Then

$$\bigl\{P \in \mathbb{A}^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \alpha_f(P) = \delta_f\bigr\}$$

contains a Zariski dense set of points having disjoint orbits.

Proof. See [22]. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] N. Abarenkova, J.-C. Anglès d'Auriac, S. Boukraa, S. Hassani, and J.-M. Maillard. Topological entropy and Arnold complexity for two-dimensional mappings. *Phys. Lett. A*, 262(1):44–49, 1999.

[2] V. I. Arnolʹd. Dynamics of complexity of intersections. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, 21(1):1–10, 1990.

[3] E. Bedford and K. Kim. On the degree growth of birational mappings in higher dimension. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 14(4):567–596, 2004.

[4] E. Bedford and K. Kim. Periodicities in linear fractional recurrences: degree growth of birational surface maps. *Michigan Math. J.*, 54(3):647–670, 2006.

[5] E. Bedford and K. Kim. Degree growth of matrix inversion: birational maps of symmetric, cyclic matrices. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 21(4):977–1013, 2008.

[6] E. Bedford and K. Kim. Linear recurrences in the degree sequences of monomial mappings. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 28(5):1369–1375, 2008.

[7] E. Bedford, K. Kim, T. T. Truong, N. Abarenkova, and J.-M. Maillard. Degree complexity of a family of birational maps. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 11(1):53–71, 2008.

[8] M. P. Bellon and C.-M. Viallet. Algebraic entropy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 204(2):425–437, 1999.

[9] G. Birkhoff. Linear transformations with invariant cones. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 74:274–276, 1967.

[10] E. Bombieri and W. Gubler. *Heights in Diophantine Geometry*. Number 4 in New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[11] A. M. Bonifant and J. E. Fornæss. Growth of degree for iterates of rational maps in several variables. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 49(2):751–778, 2000.

[12] S. Boucksom, C. Favre, and M. Jonsson. Degree growth of meromorphic surface maps. *Duke Math. J.*, 141(3):519–538, 2008.

[13] G. S. Call and J. H. Silverman. Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms. *Compositio Math.*, 89(2):163–205, 1993.

[14] J. Diller and C. Favre. Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 123(6):1135–1169, 2001.

[15] C. Favre and E. Wulcan. Degree growth of monomial maps and McMullen's polytope algebra, 2010. `arXiv:1011.2854`.

[16] W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

[17] V. Guedj. Dynamics of quadratic polynomial mappings of $\mathbb{C}^2$. *Michigan Math. J.*, 52(3):627–648, 2004.

[18] V. Guedj. Ergodic properties of rational mappings with large topological degree. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1589–1607, 2005.

[19] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

[20] B. Hasselblatt and J. Propp. Degree-growth of monomial maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 27(5):1375–1397, 2007. Corrigendum vol. 6, page 1999.

[21] M. Hindry and J. H. Silverman. *Diophantine Geometry: An Introduction*, volume 201 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[22] S. Kawaguchi and J. H. Silverman. Examples of dynamical degree equals arithmetic degree, 2012. in preparation.

[23] J. Kollár and S. Mori. *Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties*, volume 134 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,

1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[24] S. Lang. *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[25] J.-L. Lin. Algebraic stability and degree growth of monomial maps and polynomial maps, 2010. `arXiv:1007.0253`.

[26] J.-L. Lin. Pulling back cohomology classes and dynamical degrees of monomial maps, 2010. `arXiv:1010.6285`.

[27] K. Maegawa. Quadratic polynomial automorphisms of dynamical degree golden ratio of $\mathbb{C}^3$. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 21(3):823–832, 2001.

[28] V.-A. Nguyên. Algebraic degrees for iterates of meromorphic self-maps of $\mathbb{P}^k$. *Publ. Mat.*, 50(2):457–473, 2006.

[29] J. H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Dynamical Systems*, volume 241 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2007.

[30] J. H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.

[31] J. H. Silverman. Dynamical degree, arithmetic entropy, and canonical heights for dominant rational self-maps of projective space, 2011. `arXiv:1111.5664`.

[32] T. T. Truong. Degree complexity of a family of birational maps. II. Exceptional cases. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 12(2):157–180, 2009.

E-mail address: `kawaguch@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp, jhs@math.brown.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BOX 1917 BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912 USA